

Service Date: September 17, 2001

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

* * * * *

IN THE MATTER OF the Application of)	UTILITY DIVISION
Telephone Company of Central Florida, Inc.)	
and Qwest Corporation)	DOCKET NO. D2001.3.32
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the)	ORDER NO. 6374
Telecommunications Act of 1996 for)	
Approval of their Agreement)	

FINAL ORDER

Introduction and Procedural Background

1. On February 8, 1996, the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act)¹ was signed into law, ushering in a sweeping reform of the telecommunications industry that is intended to bring competition to local exchange markets. The 1996 Act sets forth methods by which local competition may be encouraged in historically monopolistic local exchange markets. The 1996 Act requires companies like Qwest Corporation (Qwest) to negotiate agreements with new competitive entrants in their local exchange markets. 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 and 252.

2. Qwest has entered into a resale agreement with Telephone Company of Central Florida, Inc. (TCCF) for resale of Qwest services according to the 1996 Act. Qwest filed the parties' agreement, entitled "Agreement for Terms and Conditions of Interconnection, Unbundled Network Elements, Ancillary Services, and Resale of Telecommunications Services Provided by Qwest Corporation in the State of Montana for Telephone Company of Central Florida, Inc." (Agreement) with the Montana Public Service Commission (Commission) on March 22, 2001. The Agreement was docketed as D2001.3.32 and it provides for TCCF to resell Qwest's local exchange services in Montana.

3. On March 27, 2001, the Commission issued a Notice of Application for Approval of Resale Agreement and Opportunity to Intervene and Comment, giving public notice of the

¹ Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 47 U.S.C.).

requirements that the Commission approval of the filing be nondiscriminatory toward other telecommunications carriers not parties to the agreement and be consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The notice stated that no public hearing was contemplated unless requested by an interested party by April 9, 2001. The notice further stated that interested persons could submit limited comments on whether the agreement met these requirements no later than April 20, 2001.

4. No hearing has been requested and no comments or requests for intervention received in regard to the TCCF Agreement. The TCCF Agreement is similar to previously approved interconnection agreements between Qwest and other competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs). The Commission has rejected certain provisions in many of these contracts and directed Qwest to remedy its failure to comply with Commission orders in any future filing.

5. Qwest's application for approval states that, "[t]he agreement does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier which is not a party to it, and is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity." This agreement is not the same as prior resale agreements, although it appears to include substantially the same content as those prior agreements. For the reasons explained below, the Commission approves the Agreement in part, modifies it, and rejects a section of the Agreement which is not consistent with prior Commission decisions.

Applicable Law and Commission Decision

6. The standards for approving an interconnection agreement differ, depending on whether the agreement has been voluntarily negotiated or has been arbitrated by a state commission. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2). The Agreement submitted for approval in this proceeding was negotiated voluntarily by the parties and thus must be reviewed according to the provisions in 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A).

7. Section 252(e)(4) of the 1996 Act provides that a negotiated agreement submitted for a state commission's approval must be approved or rejected within 90 days or it will be deemed approved. Thus, Commission approval or rejection according to the substantive standards set forth in the 1996 Act must issue by June 20, 2001, 90 days following the submission of the TCCF Agreement for Commission approval.

8. The Commission must approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). Section 252(e)(2)(A) prescribes the grounds for rejection of an agreement reached by voluntary negotiation:

(2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION.--The State commission may only reject--

(A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under [47 U.S.C. § 252(a)] if it finds that

(i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;

9. Notwithstanding the limited grounds for rejection in 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A), the Commission's authority is preserved in § 252 (e)(3) to establish or enforce other requirements of Montana law in its review of arbitrated or negotiated agreements, including requiring compliance with state telecommunications service quality standards or requirements. Such compliance is subject to § 253 of the 1996 Act, which does not permit states to permit or impose any statutes, regulations, or legal requirements that prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting market entry.

10. Unlike an agreement reached through arbitration, a voluntarily negotiated agreement need not comply with standards set forth in §§ 251(b) and (c). Sections 251(b), 252(c) and 252(a)(1) of the Act permit parties to agree to rates, terms and conditions for interconnection that may not be deemed just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory, and which are not determined according to the pricing standards included in § 252(c) of the Act, as would be required in the case of arbitrated rates set by the Commission.

11. By approving this Agreement, the Commission does not intend to imply that it approves of all the terms and conditions included in the Agreement and makes no findings herein on the appropriateness of many of the terms and conditions. Our interpretation of the 1996 Act is that §§ 252(a) and (c) prevent the Commission from addressing such issues in this proceeding.

12. No comments have been received that express any reservations about the parties' agreement not complying with federal law as cited above or with state telecommunications requirements. The Montana Consumer Counsel, who represents the consumers of the State of

Montana, has not intervened in this approval proceeding, and has not filed comments to indicate that any portion of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. There have been no objections raised that the Agreement discriminates improperly or is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

13. The Commission finds that the terms in the parties' Agreement appear to conform to the standards required by the 1996 Act and should be approved, with certain exceptions. In this approval proceeding, the Commission is guided by provisions in state and federal law that have been enacted to encourage the development of competitive telecommunications markets. Section 69-3-802, MCA, for example, states that it is the policy of the State of Montana to encourage competition in the telecommunications industry and to provide for an orderly transition to a competitive market environment.

The Commission addresses the following terms:

14. Dispute Resolution - The Commission has repeatedly concluded that the public interest and the facilitation of market entry is better served by a notification to the Commission that the parties intend to resolve disputes through an arbitrator who is not the Commission. Section 5.18.3 provides that the parties may mutually agree to change the provisions for arbitration. The Commission interprets the last sentence in § 5.18.3 as allowing Qwest and TCCF to mutually agree to provide no notification to the Commission. This is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, and is rejected.

17. Payment – Both sections 5.4.3 and 5.4.9 provide that Qwest will notify the reseller and the Commission 10 days prior to disconnection. The Commission considers 10 days insufficient time for the Reseller to notify its customers, or, if the Reseller does not notify, for the Commission to notify the Reseller's end-use customers. Therefore, the Commission directs that the notice period contained in sections 5.4.3 and 5.4.9 be changed to 30 days.

18. TCCF and Qwest can agree that nothing in their Agreement prohibits certain conduct, but if that conduct otherwise violates the law, the provision in the Agreement that sanctions such conduct is void. §§ 28-2-604, 28-2-701, 28-2-702, MCA. Any provision or term of this Agreement that is in conflict with the law, whether or not specifically addressed by the Commission, is rejected as a matter of law and not in the public interest.

Conclusions of Law

1. The Commission has authority to supervise, regulate and control public utilities. Section 69-3-102, MCA. Qwest is a public utility offering regulated telecommunications services in the State of Montana. Section 69-3-101, MCA.

2. TCCF intends to resell telecommunications services and interconnect with Qwest in Qwest territories throughout Montana. As a reseller of regulated telecommunications services in Montana, TCCF is subject to Commission authority to supervise, regulate and control public utilities. Section 69-3-803(6), MCA.

3. Before providing services in Montana, TCCF initially will be required to register with the Commission as a telecommunications provider and to provide the requested information to the Commission, if it has not already done so. Section 69-3-805, MCA. The requirement at § 69-3-805(1)(e), MCA, that TCCF file initial price lists or tariffs for regulated telecommunications services, has been waived.

4. The Commission has authority to do all things necessary and convenient in the exercise of the powers granted to it by the Montana Legislature and to regulate the mode and manner of all investigations and hearings of public utilities and other parties before it. Section 69-3-103, MCA.

5. The United States Congress enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to encourage competition in the telecommunications industry. Congress gave responsibility for much of the implementation of the 1996 Act to the states, to be handled by the state agency with regulatory control over telecommunications carriers. *See generally*, the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (*amending scattered sections of the Communications Act of 1934*, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, *et seq.*). The Montana Public Service Commission is the state agency charged with regulating telecommunications carriers in Montana and properly exercises jurisdiction in this Docket pursuant to Title 69, Chapter 3, MCA.

6. Adequate public notice and an opportunity to be heard has been provided to all interested parties in this Docket, as required by the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, Title 2, Chapter 4, MCA.

7. The Commission has jurisdiction to approve the resale agreement negotiated by the parties and submitted to the Commission for approval according to § 252(e)(2)(A). Section 69-3-103, MCA.

8. Approval of interconnection agreements by the Commission is subject to the requirements of federal law as set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252. Section 252(e) limits the Commission's review of a negotiated agreement to the standards set forth therein for rejection of such agreements. Section 252(e)(4) requires the Commission to approve or reject the TCCF Agreement June 20, 2001, or the Agreement will be deemed approved.

Order

THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, it is ORDERED that the resale agreement of the parties, submitted to this Commission for approval pursuant to the 1996 Act, is approved as discussed herein, subject to the following condition:

1. The parties shall file an amendment to this agreement consistent with this Order within 30 days.
2. The parties shall file all subsequent amendments to the Agreement with the Commission for approval pursuant to the 1996 Act.

DONE AND DATED this 18th day of June, 2001, by a vote of 5 to 0.

BY ORDER OF THE MONTANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

GARY FELAND, Chairman

JAY STOVALL, Vice Chairman

BOB ANDERSON, Commissioner

MATT BRAINARD, Commissioner

BOB ROWE, Commissioner

ATTEST:

Rhonda J. Simmons
Commission Secretary

(SEAL)

NOTE: Any interested party may request the Commission to reconsider this decision.
A motion to reconsider must be filed within ten (10) days. See ARM 38.2.4806.